Budget Proposal Phase II Rubric  for use by UBAC						
Category	High quality (H)	Medium quality (M)	Low quality (L)	Unacceptable (U)	Priority	Rating
Assessment SMT:  Outcome is specific and measureable  Clearly aligned indiators of success are given  Reasonable time frame for measuring impact	A strong, clearly articulated case is made for all outcome for all bullets	An adequate case is presented for all outcomes, some bullet points might be vague	Not all outcomes are measureable OR some indicators of success are unclear or missing OR part of time frame is infeasible	Many outcomes are not assessable OR indicators or success are missing or too vague OR time frame is infesaible OR undefined		
Assessment AR:  • All outcomes are ambitious enough to warrent new funding  • All outcomes are realistic  • All outcomes are clearly aligned with budgetary priorities	A strong, clearly articulated case is made for all outcome for all bullets	Adequate case presented for all outcomes, some bullet points might be vague	Some bullet points not adequately addressed	Many bullet points not adequately addressed		
Implementation Plan and Timeline: • Timeline is reasonable • Enough detail is provided to see that all outcomes can be accomplished	Clear, easy to see how the idea will be implemented	Adequate but lacking some detail	Somewhat vague	Not enough information given		
Contingency plan:  Partial funding plan given, or explanation given if not applicable  Consequences of not funding the project are explained	Clear, detailed contingency plans given including information about which outcomes can or cannot be met with partial funding OR clear explanation of why partial funding is not applicable AND consequences of no funding are explained	Adequate but lacking some detail	Somewhat vague	Not enough information given		
Similar work:  • Explanation of similar work being done elsewhere  • Similar work compared and contrasted with current proposal	Thorough research has been done to determine if similar work is being done elsewhere AND Such work has been clearly summarized and compared and contrasted with the proposed idea	Adequate but lacking some detail	Somewhat vague	Not enough information given		
Impacts and consulted parties  Both favorable and unfavorable impacts to other units are identified  All affected units have been adequately consulted	Both favorable and unfavorable impacts have been thoroughly considered and noted AND All relevent stakeholders have been consulted	Both favorable and unfavorable impacts have been adequately considered AND Most relevent stakeholders have been consulted	Favorable and unfavorable impacts have not been adequately considered AND/OR Relevent stakeholders have not been consulted	Not enough information given		
Budget  Budget form complete  Budget clearly aligned to project	Budget is well thought out, with enough details to show proposed ideas can be accomplished, budget items clearly connected to project outcomes AND budget is vetted by budget office	Adequate but lacking some detail	Somewhat vague	Not enough information given		
Presentation  Overview - what and why  Outcomes and their connection to Budget Priorities are clearly stated  Timeline suitable to project  Assessment plan outlined  Comments from Phase I addressed	Excellent, all components present, questions all satisfactorily answered	Adequate	Somwhat vague	Not enough information given		