

Incidental Fee Committee  
Agenda

Meeting # 9

February 02, 2015 5:30pm

Location: Columbia Room, WUC

**1. Call to Order**

Meeting is called to order at 5:36pm by Quinn Forner, IFC Chair.

**2. Roll Call**

**IFC Members:** Vikas Sharma, Tori Stutzman, Carter Craig, Rachel Ammons, Jessica Hand, Quinn Forner, Evelyn Garcia, and Allison Cook.

**Advisors:** Darin Silbernagel, Director of Business Services; and Gary Dukes, Vice President for Student Affairs.

**Area Heads:** Michael Freeman, ASWOU; Debbie Diehm, Plan-it-Wolf/Wolf Ride; Rip Horsey, Campus Recreation; and Mary Ellen Dello Stritto, Abby's House; Ingrid Amerson, Childcare; Patrick Moser, WUC/SLA; Adry Clark, Service Learning & Career Development; Meg Artman, Student Media; Malissa Larson, Access; Barb Dearing, Athletics; and Keller Coker, Creative Arts

**Other Representatives:** Brandon Neish, Budget Office; Zach Moffatt, ASWOU Senate President; and Glen Harris, Athletics; Jenesa Ross, ASWOU; Justin Ross, ASWOU; Corbin Garner, ASWOU; Randi Lydum, Athletics; **IFC Secretary:** Adela Aguilar

**Not Present:** Miguel Sanchez, IFC Member.

**3. Approval of the agenda**

Allison Cook moves to approve the agenda. Evelyn Garcia seconds. Acclamation is called. Seeing no dissent, motion passes.

**4. Approval of the minutes**

Minutes from previous meeting are unavailable.

Jessica Hand moves to table approving of the Jan. 29 minutes until they are available. Evelyn Garcia seconds. Acclamation is called. Seeing no dissent, motion passes.

**5. Reports**

**5.1 Subcommittees**

**6. Old Business**

**6.1 Open Hearings**

Jessica Hand hands out the intended questions for the open hearing breakout session:

1. How do you feel about the fee overall?
2. What do you feel the strongest about in the budgets? (Positive or

Negative)

3. Do you think you benefit enough from the services provided by the fee. How?

4. What do you think could be improved in the budget? What do you disagree with?

She goes on to explain that the Committee members will pair up for the breakout sessions. Malissa Larson comments that the wording could be a little confusing as not all the areas are fully funded by the incidental fee. She also notes that the word 'feel' may not produce the feedback the Committee is looking for. The Committee and other attendees discussed alternatives to the word 'feel.'

Ingrid Amerson asks how students at large will find out about preliminary decisions in order to be prepared for open hearings. Jessica Hand explains that area heads will speak to their students and that Committee members are constantly speaking to students. The Committee considers setting a time limit for the breakout sessions but decide to see how the discussion progresses.

Meg Artman asks about the role of area heads, it is explained that area heads are there as observers and to answer any potential questions.

## **6.2 Preliminary Decision**

The Committee decides to continue reviewing enhancement requests. Justin Ross reminds the Committee that the ASWOU Senate will be looking for discussion and/or reasoning behind decision making,

### **Creative Arts**

Subsidized tickets for students – Allison Cook notes that athletic events are free and approving the enhancement would allow students the opportunity to attend theatre events as well; Rachel Ammons agrees. Jessica Hand asks how the dollar amount for the enhancement was reached and asks the Committee if it is fair to ask all students to pay another dollar for a few students to receive free tickets. Keller Coker responds that the enhancement request should provide the math. Vikas Sharma agrees with the enhancement but also thinks that dance tickets should be free for students. Keller Coker adds that while he agrees with the idea of free tickets, across the board, the dance department did not bring an enhancement forward. He is willing to encourage the dance department to do so. Carter Craig believes the enhancement is important in building strong community culture. Tori Stutzman thinks it is a good idea and many can benefit but does not believe they can justify raising the fee nearly a dollar. Quinn Forner reminds the Committee that funding base budgets (as is) will increase the fee by \$4. The Committee briefly considers partial funding before reaching the consensus that it is an all or nothing request. A similar, \$8,000, enhancement was granted in the previous IFC process. The Committee discusses granting the current

enhancement as a one-time request in order for Creative Arts to gather a full year's worth of data in regards to student attendance. Gary Dukes notes there is a difference between the FY15 and FY16 request and inquires why that is. Keller Coker explains that every other year is a musical year but he will have Thad Shannon review the math. An unofficial vote shows the majority of the Committee support fully funding the subsidized tickets for students.

#### Athletics

Electronic Medical Records - \$1,635

Allison Cook questions whether she can partake in discussion for Athletics since she is an athlete. It is established that the only restriction is she cannot vote on something specific to her sport. Allison Cook knows that filling out the medical paperwork every year is a hassle and therefore believes an electronic medical records system could be extremely beneficial. Vikas Sharma asks for an explanation of the potential benefits. Barb Dearing notes that it would make it easier to maintain an athlete's medical history as well as facilitate access for medical professionals all while maintain the information confidential. Randi Lydum adds that when teams travel they are required to take the medical paperwork for all the individuals traveling. Rachel Ammons sees it as a safety item and thinks it should be funded. Jessica Hand sees it more as an ease of use request as having the software will not prevent injuries. Rachel Ammons adds that the software would ensure files were not damaged during travel. Carter Craig notes that while the enhancement would be ideal there are other enhancements of higher importance. Jessica Hand agrees with Carter but also feels that the Committee needs to consider that the department ranked their requests. It is established that Club Sports have different guidelines and they utilize an emergency contact list. While the Student Health & Counseling center has moved to electronic medical records it is not a system that the athletics dept., could utilize. Tori Stutzman likes the idea behind the enhancement but believes there are higher priorities. An unofficial vote shows the majority of the Committee support not funding the request.

Treadmill - \$2,945

The Committee discusses the possibility of having the academic side contribute a portion towards the treadmill replacement. Barb Dearing notes that while they can certainly ask the academic side for assistance they are not guaranteed it. An unofficial vote shows the majority of the Committee support not funding the request. Barb Dearing asks the Committee if they would consider partial funding. Allison Cook believes the Committee should consider partial funding since athletics will be asking the academic side to contribute. Vikas Sharma suggests funding \$1,400 with administrative overhead. An unofficial vote shows the

majority of the Committee support partial funding at \$1,400 with administrative overhead.

#### Volleyball Net - \$380

Tori Stutzman asks whether the net could withstand an additional year. Barb Dearing responds that the net is wearing and needs replacement. Vikas Sharma asks if the net is shared with the academic side. Barb Dearing notes that the net is used competitively. Quinn Forner asks if the additional funds could be located if the Committee chose to partially fund. Barb Dearing notes that it could be found but at the expense of something else. An unofficial vote shows the majority of the Committee support not funding.

#### Repair Track Hammer/discus area - \$4,296

Carter Craig believes it is a good idea because it is necessary for safety reasons. Allison Cook agrees that it is a very big safety concern. An unofficial vote shows the majority of the Committee supports fully funding.

#### Football Headset Coach Comm System - \$43,712

Carter Craig believes not having the headset is a strategic disadvantage and a safety concern. Jessica Hand asks how the department will fund the headset if the Committee chooses not to fund it. Barb Dearing responds that they will need to ask the University President for the funds. Quinn Forner asks why not ask there before coming to IFC. Darin Silbernagel notes that general fund is typically used for personnel expenses. Jessica Hand asks about the possibility of paying it over two years. Darin Silbernagel responds that the funding would need to be a little different and may involve requesting a loan from a different area. Vikas Sharma believes the request needs more student input. Allison Cook agrees with Vikas, she sees the safety concern but also notes the large sum of money. An unofficial vote shows the majority of the Committee supports preliminarily allocating the funds pending additional student input.

Fee update: \$6 increase in current enhancements, \$4 increase for base budgets, and \$2 increase for computer reserve.

#### Football Practice Lights - \$800

The turf field lights for football practice are currently paid with the incidental fee; the request reflects the increase needed to pay for additional; practice hours. The funding for the football field lights cannot be shifted to cover the current request. An unofficial vote shows the majority of the Committee supports not funding the request.

#### All Travel - \$34,794

Allison Cook notes that travel must occur. Quinn former questions the benefit to all students if the teams are traveling. Vikas Sharma questions how much higher the fee can get. He asks if there are athletic sponsors. Barb Dearing responds that yes there are sponsors and those dollars go to scholarships. She adds that the enhancement reflects the cost of doing business; Concordia University was added to the league. Rachel Ammons notes that she does not see an alternative funding other than lottery dollars but those go towards scholarships. Justin Ross adds that while losing scholarship dollars may not be ideal it may be necessary. He adds that the conversation keeps getting back to the fact that those dollars are for scholarships but maybe they shouldn't be. Quinn Forner asks how the department will manage if the enhancement is not funded. Barb Dearing responds that it is mandated travel and they will need to find a way. It may mean longer bus rides and more missed classes for the athletes. An unofficial vote shows the majority of the Committee supports not funding the request.

#### Team Physicians - \$4,243

Rachel Ammons notes that it is related to student health and safety therefore she supports funding it. Quinn Forner questions the benefit to the entire student body. Tori Stutzman asks how team physicians are currently being funded. Barb Dearing answers that it is currently funded out of lottery funds. Quinn Forner questions how those lottery dollars would be spent if the Committee were to fund the request. Barb Dearing answers that those funds would go towards scholarships or mandated travel. Jessica Hand notes it would be irresponsible to fund the request when there are other funding sources for it. An unofficial vote shows the majority of the Committee supports not funding the request.

#### Student Media

##### Professional Development - \$537

Carter Craig, Tori Stutzman, and Jessica Hand note the benefit of additional skills for the students as well as the department. Evelyn Garcia also notes that WOU does not have a journalism program and believes the enhancement could be beneficial to interested students. An unofficial vote shows the majority of the Committee supports funding the request.

##### Color Printer/Ink - \$292

It is established that the printer would be used to proof the paper before it is sent off to be published. Vikas Sharma asks if there are other options. Meg Artman responds that there are no other options as they used specialized software not available on other computers. Jessica Hand questions how the WOU community will benefit from better color on the paper. Carter Craig notes that The Journal is the best source for WOU news and that the color could really affect how its value is perceived. An

unofficial vote shows the majority of the Committee supports funding the request.

#### KWOU App - \$393

Allison Cook notes that she would be more likely to utilize her phone for music than her computer. Jessica Hand believes it would add to the success of KWOU. She tried accessing it online and found it to be difficult. An unofficial vote shows the majority of the Committee supports funding the request.

#### Campus Recreation

##### HWC Maintenance - \$8,483

Carter Craig, Allison Cook, and Vikas Sharma all believe the building is well used and are leaning towards full funding. It is clarified that these funds do not go towards capitalized equipment. Committee members discuss the use of the building and the need to keep it clean and safe. An unofficial vote shows the majority of the Committee supports funding the request.

##### HWC wages, cleaning \$2,751

The cleaning happens during the summer for a full week; 270 hours of labor. Students learn to take apart the equipment, and restring rackets among other duties. Rip Horsey explains that while the cleaning happens in the summer the expense hits in October and that is why the subcommittee felt it should be presented as an academic year enhancement. Allison Cook notes that the dollar difference between student wages and physical plant fees was quite large. If the enhancement were not granted Rip Horsey explains they will do as much cleaning as they are able to. Jenesa Ross notes that if a Committee member has a benefit/job in a particular area they should abstain. Allison Cook notes that while she is currently employed at the HWC she will not benefit from FY16 allocations as she is currently a senior. An unofficial vote shows the majority of the Committee supports funding the request.

##### UV Lighting, Aquatic Center - \$1,642

Rip Horsey clarifies that the bulbs are to be replaced every 6 months. If a bulb is installed by the physical plant, as opposed to a certified installer, the company does not guarantee the expected 6 month lifespan. Jessica Hand asks if there is any revenue associated with aquatic classes. Rip Horsey reminds the Committee that it is a self-sustaining program and left over funds are used to improve the aquatic center. An unofficial vote shows the majority of the Committee supports funding the request.

##### Personnel – 3 options

An enhancement request for this particular position has been submitted for the past several years. Rip Horsey explains that everyone stands to benefit

by increasing the position to fulltime; current staff members can refocus their full attention to their current positions, more revenue from additional memberships, and better service for students. He also notes that anyone with a membership will see the increase, not just the student body. He reiterates that if their department is to grow they need a full time position to handle memberships, accounting, and the other various responsibilities. The Committee discusses the potential benefits in contrast to the increase in the fee from the various options.

Jessica hand moves for a 10 minute recess. Evelyn Garcia seconds. Acclamation is called. Seeing no dissent, motion passes at 8:57pm.

Meeting is called bat to order at 9:12pm by Quinn Forner, IFC Chair.

The majority of the Committee would like to see the second option funded but are unsure about the impact to students in regards to the fee increase. Carter Craig believes there is a range of dollars in which the fee remains affordable; no more than \$375. Jessica hand does not think that the benefits outweigh the cost. Quinn asks how much the fee would increase if the middle option were funded; Brandon Neish estimates the increase at \$2.55 increase per term. Jessica Hand suggests voting on a preliminary allocation and gathering student input to factor into final decision. An unofficial vote shows the majority of the Committee supports funding the Exec Support Specialist request.

#### Student Leadership & Activities

##### Stonewall Center Programming - \$2,500

Evelyn Garcia thinks the Stonewall is an important recourse center that was developed for campus that offers many educational opportunities. Justin Ross notes that it used to be under ASWOU but has since moved to SLA because it needed more than ASWOU could give. It is established that ASWOU's programming funds for the Stonewall were also moved to SLA. An unofficial vote shows the majority of the Committee supports funding the request.

##### Programming – prior year cuts \$4,028

Jessica Hand notes that SLA received a decrease in funding in the previous year due to their consistent rollover of over \$10,000 which is why she would not be in favor of funding the request. Carter Craig notes that the department has a bit of bad history they need to atone for before they can be trusted with student dollars. An unofficial vote shows the majority of the Committee supports not funding the request.

##### Heatwave Concert for summer - \$537

It is established that the current summer fee is \$115.00 and that approximately every \$1,100 = \$1 increase to said fee. Tori Stutzman

thinks it's great that students want more. Carter Craig thinks it's a great price to pay for a concert since the increase to the fee would be about 50 cents. Allison Cook and Jessica Hand see the benefit of attracting prospective students as well as involving the community. An unofficial vote shows the majority of the Committee supports funding the request.

#### Campus Pride Boot Camp - \$2,289

It is established that the request is for one staff member to attend the conference for 6 days/5 nights. Jessica Hand asks why the lodging is not within per-diem. It is noted that IFC per-diem rates do not apply to staff/faculty. Vikas Sharma reminds the Committee to consider the increase to the fee. Carter Craig believes that the information gathered by the conference attendee would trickle down to the students. Upon request, Patrick Moser provides some usage data for Fall term; 144 individuals visited the center to inquire about the services while an additional 134 individuals used the lounge aspect of the center. Justin Ross inquires whether the same person would attend the conference each year. Patrick Moser clarifies that individual holding that particular position would attend the conference. He also adds that it is an entry position that may see turnover in a 3-4 year cycle. Vikas Sharma is opposed to funding the request. Evelyn Garcia believes the information is valuable and would be in favor of funding. Allison Cook, Rachel Ammons, and Carter Craig would be in favor of funding the conference every other year. Jessica Hand and Tori Stutzman are not in favor of funding the request because the program is fairly new (under SLA) and the programming has been increased. An unofficial vote shows the majority of the Committee supports funding half of the request.

#### Student Activities Board

Assistant Director position \$3,510

The Committee members think the job is being executed well as is. An unofficial vote shows the majority of the Committee supports not funding the request.

#### WOLF Ride

Van replacement – 2 options

Allison Cook notes that while it is a large sum of money she would like to see an ADA accessible Van. Evelyn Garcia adds that accessibility is something that WOU encourages and having an ADA accessible van would increase student participation. Tori Stutzman thinks it would be nice but it is not something there has been a great call for; a lot of money to spend on something that has not been requested. Debbie Diehm adds that since budget presentations the van has only been full once and there are typically between 8-4 passengers. An unofficial vote shows the Committee supports funding the request.

Werner University Center

Carpet Replacement - \$4,296

Patrick Moser clarifies that the request is only a portion of the actual cost of carpet replacement. He also adds that carpet is replaced on a rotation cycle; oldest first. There is a current portion in the budget that goes towards carpet replacement as well as some reserve funds. Jessica Hand is curious to see the numbers based on a 10 year cycle. Patrick Moser will have numbers drawn up for a later time. Tori Stutzman does not think the enhancement would be maximizing student dollars since it is a very small portion of the full amount needed. An unofficial vote shows the majority of the Committee supports not funding the request. Additional information previously requested of Patrick Moser is no longer needed.

Furniture - \$4,296

The request is primarily for the furniture within the conference rooms. The current furniture has outlived their expected life but is quite heavy and in some cases unsafe. The conference rooms are used by many student organizations as well as faculty/staff and outside individuals (which creates revenue). The Committee agrees the importance of the Werner Center being safe, clean, and well kept.

Jessica Hand moves to extend the meeting to midnight. Evelyn Garcia seconds. The motion passes 7-0-0.

Jessica Hand thinks it is a fiscally sound decision to get the furniture on a rotation. An unofficial vote shows the majority of the Committee supports funding the request.

Fee update = \$12 increase for enhancements, \$2 increase for computers, & \$4 increase for base budgets.

Base budgets

Quinn Forner asks why the planner continues to be funded when Debbie Diehm suggested it not be funded. Jessica Hand answers that she conducted a survey last year and the feedback was a sense of belonging and appreciation. The Committee discussed their use of the planner as well as the technological shift. Patrick Moser adds that as OrgSync becomes more widely used on campus it will serve as a bit of a university calendar for student activities. An unofficial vote shows the majority of the Committee supports not funding the request.

Abby's House – Jessica hand notes that Abby's House has demonstrated that they are using dollars directly for student benefit and are at an appropriate funding level. The Committee agrees; general consensus to fund base budget request.

Access – general consensus to fund base budget request.

Barb Dearing asks whether the projected \$4 increase in base budgets include the mandatory increases. Brandon Neish confirms that it does. Barb Dearing then asks the question is, then, whether or not everyone is ok with the \$4 increase. Allison Cook, Evelyn Garcia, and Rachel Ammons are not opposed to a \$4 increase. Jessica Hand, Carter Craig, and Vikas Sharma would like to look at budgets individually. Tori Stutzman is not opposed to the \$4 increase but would like to discuss the areas individually.

ASWOU – Jessica Hand notes that student feedback indicated they liked that the fee covers clubs and organizations. She adds that ASWOU received a 10,000 cut last year. Vikas Sharma points out that ASWOU is student run and that's where student dollars should be going. Carter Craig adds that they are efficient at utilizing student dollars for all students. The Committee agrees; general consensus to fund base budget request.

Creative Arts – Rachel Ammons notes that Creative Arts covers many areas (theatre, dance, music, and dance) and she would be in favor of funding. Jessica Hand adds that in speaking to students she discovered that many students came to WOU for something related to Creative Arts. Carter Craig adds that the funding will help the department reach out to non-creative arts students as well. The Committee agrees; general consensus to fund base budget request.

Athletics – Carter Craig is conflicted; thinks they need more money to be effective but also thinks they could outreach more. Vikas Sharma would like to see more sponsorship. Tori Stutzman understands that athletics is a big part of each university but would like to see more student involvement. Allison Cook is in favor of the budget. Evelyn Garcia has not spoken to many students and therefore does not have much to contribute. Jessica Hand notes that the students who do not partake in athletic events still pay the fee and should be considered. It is established that 30.33% of the fee goes towards athletics. Carter Craig is ok with the base budget request but would like to see a shift in campus culture over the next few years. Jessica Hand reminds the Committee that athletics generated considerable rollover. She adds that students said that athletics receives a large portion of the fee and they do not necessarily agree with that. Barb Dearing notes they have been working throughout the year to increase student participation; over 900 participants (not unique participants). Justin Ross suggests adding a budget note in regards to departments providing unique number of users for their department. Ingrid Amerson adds that athletes do a great job of representing the University and do great volunteer work with childcare.

Glen Harris adds that he attended a college without an athletics program and there was always a longing for it. Vikas Sharma agrees with the previous statement but adds that students come to WOU to further their education and enrollment numbers are currently declining. A high incidental fee or lack of education program can cause prospective students to go elsewhere.

Jenesa Ross notes that everyone acknowledges that athletics has a place on campus but the real question is whether they are funded at a responsible level considering enhancements are rollover over the past years.

Jessica Hand notes that she is not opposed to a cut to athletics. She thinks the rollover is a significant amount and it needs to be considered. She adds that it is not fair to ask students to fund a great portion of the budget so that revenue can go towards scholarships. Vikas Sharma proposes a 5% cut.

Tori Stutzman supports a 5% cut but does not see the logic of then increasing the fee with enhancements; thinks it would make more sense to fund base budgets and not enhancements. Justin Ross notes that enhancements are earmarked for their intended use and cannot be reallocated. He also asks to see how the allocated enhancements and the 5% cut balance out. Brandon Neish shows that athletics still faces a \$20,328 decrease. An unofficial vote shows the majority of the Committee supports decreasing the base budget funding request by 5%.

Childcare – Carter Craig believes the current subsidy level is balanced for continued success towards graduation for student parents. The Committee agrees; general consensus to fund base budget request.

Extraordinary Travel – Vikas Sharma asks if the funds are generally depleted. Darin Silberbagel responds that there are always exceptions but for the most part they allocate all the funds. Rip Horsey notes that club sports turn to extraordinary travel for post season travel. Keller Coker adds that ensembles or students receiving awards turn to this fund. Jessica Hand also notes that it is a great resource for unfunded clubs. The Committee agrees; general consensus to fund base budget request.

Student Media – Carter Craig and Vikas Sharma both think Student Media provides unique services to the campus culture and would like to see it fully funded. The Committee agrees; general consensus to fund base budget request.

Health & Wellness Center – Rachel Ammons notes that it is a big budget but it also has a lot going on. The Committee agrees; general consensus to fund base budget request.

Club Sports – Club sports compete with other collegiate leagues while intramurals are WOU students competing against WOU students. There is a general consensus to fund base budget request.

Intramurals – Rip Horsey notes that intramurals see a lot of student participation with approximately 1,200 unique participants. The Committee agrees; general consensus to fund base budget request.

Student Leadership & Activities – Jessica Hand notes that SLA offers a variety of activities on campus and they received a cut last year; she is in favor of fully funding their base budget request. The Committee agrees; general consensus to fund base budget request.

Student Activities Board – Jessica Hand notes that it is very similar to the SLA budget but with full student control. Tori Stutzman adds that they do great work around campus and get a lot of student interaction. The Committee agrees; general consensus to fund base budget request.

WOLF Ride – Allison Cook is in favor of fully funding. Rachel Ammons would have used the service as a freshman had she been at WOU, sees it as very beneficial to the student body. Jessica hand adds that many international students use the service to navigate town and stock necessities. The Committee agrees; general consensus to fund base budget request.

Service learning & Career Development – Vikas Sharma notes that SLCD provides many services that prepare students for life after WOU. The Committee agrees; general consensus to fund base budget request.

Werner university Center – Evelyn Garcia notes that the WUC is a central building and experiences heavy traffic. The Committee agrees; general consensus to fund base budget request.

Jessica Hand moves to extend the meeting until it is formally adjourned. Evelyn Garcia seconds. Acclamation is called. Motion passes.

Summer budgets

4 areas receive funding; Creative Arts, Campus recreations (building), SLA (personnel), and WUC.

Jessica Hand reminds the Committee that summer term students pay the full fee regardless of the number of credit hours.

Campus Recreation – Evelyn Garcia and Rachel Ammons both use the facility throughout the summer and believe it is a year round high use

service. The Committee agrees; general consensus to fund base budget request.

Creative Arts- Keller Coker notes that there are concerts, jazz activities, Mel Brown camp, and a play throughout the summer. There is a general consensus to fund base budget request.

Student Leadership & Activities – Patrick Moser explains that the allocation is used for personnel and services & supplies for heatwave concerts. There is a general consensus to fund base budget request.

Werner University Center - Jessica Hand inquires about summer hours; Monday – Friday from 7:30am-5:00pm. Conferences occurring outside of those hours pay for the additional hours. There is a general consensus to fund base budget request.

#### Enhancements

Childcare - \$8,666

Rachel Ammons thinks that student parents may wish to expedite their time at WOU by taking summer courses. Jessica Hand reminds the Committee that it is nearly a \$9 increase to the fee. Ingrid Amerson notes that the enhancement is for 14 full time equivalents which could mean up to 25 different students. Student parents will need to have an active summer schedule in order to use the subsidy. There is a general consensus to fund base budget request.

Campus Recreation - \$5,000

Rip Horsey intends to have 30 minute rotations which a student will do a walkthrough and make notes of their observations as well as ask unauthorized users to vacate the premises. Jessica Hand notes that she understands the wear and tear issue but feels that the monitoring resembles a police mentality. Allison Cook sees the benefit to the request. Jessica Hand notes that there is anticipated revenue and wonders if that monitoring could be maintained within that (\$2,000). Rip Horsey responds that the \$2,000 is only a third of his need. After further discussion in regards to the benefit versus the cost the Committee reaches a general consensus to fund at \$1,500.

Jessica Hand moves to approve

Abby's House at \$6,391

Access at \$12,888

ASWOU at \$294,239

Creative Arts at \$317,633

Athletics at \$1,316,380

Childcare at \$57,567

Extraordinary Travel at \$6,000

Student Media at \$167,225  
 Wellness Center at \$915,357  
 Wellness Center - Club Sports at \$44,906  
 Wellness Center – Intramurals at \$41,330  
 SLA at \$285,338  
 SLA SAB at \$60,781  
 Plan-It Wolf at \$0  
 WOLF Ride at \$23,519  
 Service Learning/Career Development at \$8,030  
 WUC at \$938,396  
 For a total of \$4238,522/ \$1 decrease to the fee  
     Enhancements  
         Abby's House at \$2,188  
         ASWOU at \$ 9,148  
         Creative Arts \$12,502  
         Athletics at \$54,253  
         Student Media at \$1,222  
         Campus Recreation (frontload) at \$48,955  
         SLA at \$4,182  
         WOLF Ride at \$7,037  
         WUC at \$4,296  
         Computer Reserve at \$30,500  
     Total - \$174,283/ \$13 increase to the fee  
 Academic year grand total of \$4,412,805 with a \$12 increase to the fee; \$334 per term  
 Summer  
 Creative Arts at \$32,240  
 Campus Recreation -Wellness Center at \$63,655  
 SLA at \$14,555  
 WUC at \$12511  
 Total of - \$122,290/no change to the fee  
     Enhancements  
         Creative Arts at \$116  
         Childcare at \$8,666  
         SLA at \$1,500  
         WUC at \$537  
     Total - \$10, 819/ \$10 increase to the fee  
 Summer grand total of \$133,779/ \$122 fee

Allison Cook seconds.

Jenesa Ross notes that voting on everything at once negates all conflicts of interest. Patrick Moser notes that it is up to every individual to determine if they have a conflict of interest or not. Zach Moffat adds that the IFC Handbook provides a conflict of interest definition, does not state they must adhere to Parliamentary

Procedure and may determine their own process. The motion is moved to a vote and passes 7-0-0.

**7 New Business**

**8 Adjournment**

Patrick Moser asks that all attendees exit the building through the Santiam room exit. Evelyn Garcia moves to adjourn. Jessica Hand seconds. Acclamation is called. Seeing no dissent, meeting adjourns at 12:37am on February 3, 2015.