

## Faculty Senate Minutes February 27, 2018 Willamette Room, Werner University Center Primarily paperless, wou.edu/facultysenate

**3:15 – 3:30 p.m.** *Better Know a Colleague* (informal gathering, optional)

**3:30 – 5 p.m.** Business Meeting

- 1. Call to order: 15:30
- Call of the roll (by circulation of sign-in sheet): Adele Schepige; Bob Hautala; Camila Gabaldon; Chloe Hughes; Elisa Maroney; Erin Baumgartner; Ethan McMahan; Gavin Keulks; Greg Zobel; Jeff Templeton; Ken Carano; Kelvin Helppie; Kimberly Jensen; Laurie Burton; Marie LeJeune; Margaret Manoogian; Mark Van Steeter; Mary Harden; Matthew Nabity; Michael Phillips; Paul Disney; Scott Tighe; Sue Kunda; Thaddeus Shannon; Zenon Zygmont. Kit Andrews; Cornelia Paraskevas. Tom Kelly. Kathleen Connolly.
- 3. Corrections to and approval of minutes from previous meeting
  - a. No corrections
  - b. Minutes approved as posted
- 4. Institutional Reports
  - a. Adele Schepige, Faculty Senate President: see report here
    - i. Emeritus policy
      - 1. Executive Committee (EC) has reviewed policy, comments, & prior minutes; did not want to bring policy forward again
      - Some of the language was very importantly decided to be written in a certain way; EC want Divisions/PRCs to try out the policy—see if it works and allow for Divisions to have some freedom
    - ii. Curriculum proposals
      - 1. 100 proposals went through curriculum proposals
    - iii. International Education and Student Success Report: <u>see report</u> <u>here</u>
      - Attending the academic affairs council meeting to share results
      - Sent three questions out to faculty for responses

         Report Summary
      - Rob Troyer Chair of International Education Division

         Will present at next meeting
      - 4. Once all information is gathered, hope to get action plan going by Spring
        - a. Created a report about what was found out
          - i. Copied 8 action items from WOUFT 2012 letter
          - ii. Clear that there is a strained relationship with



the Assistant VP of International Studies

- iii. Last year, Creative arts division presented concerns about international student success
- iv. November: Academic Affairs questions
  - 1. Theme 1: Faculty like having International Students
    - a. Some systems of support are working, but doesn't appear to be enough
    - 2. Theme 2: International Student Preparation
      - a. Some language skills that are still problematic
      - Want to find a way to work this out, want students to be successful
      - c. Some faculty concerned about different notions of what academic honesty is
    - 3. Theme 3: Cultural supports for international students
      - a. Having International students live on campus would make them interact more with students
      - b. More cultural opportunities in and out of classes
      - c. With events, seems to be lack of financial support to experience these things
      - d. Curriculum Concerns: If large number of international students, how does a division housing many of them get support?
      - e. Delivering courses: In some places get to deliver courses in other countries
      - f. What incentive is there to make agreements if it is adding significant workload?
    - 4. Theme 4: International Education Office
      - a. Seems overworked
- b. Rex Fuller, University President
  - i. Call for participation
    - 1. Academic Excellence Showcase: open right now
    - 2. Nominations for Pastega award—ceremony is May 9<sup>th</sup>, 3:30
    - 3. Recommendation to start commencement to 10:30: 30 minutes earlier
    - 4. D2 Basketball: Men's basketball team headed to Alaska to play conference tournament
      - a. WOU may host March 9<sup>th</sup> Regional Tournament
      - b. WOU men's team have longest winning streak in both



division 1 and division 2

- c. Stephen Scheck, University Provost
  - i. Coordinator of IDS program has been named: Sriram Khe
    - 1. Some program aspects have been brought to faculty senate in past
  - ii. If academic program have requests for tenure track lines:
    - 1. Forward info to deans with standard protocol in college with rationale for position
    - 2. We wish to make decisions on all proposed searches before the end of spring term, enabling earlier posting of position advertisements than has been the case in the past
    - 3. Doing some searches now but most searches will be next year; overall priority is request sooner rather than later
  - iii. Congratulations to new Tenured Professors & Associate Professors and Full Professors
    - 1. Have been positively reviewed and granted; all of the applications for Associate and Full Professors have been approve
      - a. Important letter with legal information was sent out via campus mail yesterday
- d. Thaddeus Shannon, IFS Senator
  - i. Have not had IFS meeting
  - ii. HB 2998 Work meeting 2 weeks ago
    - 1. Foundational curriculum is firming up, still not done
    - 2. Still fundamental disagreements about implementation
    - 3. Most recent version
      - a. move WR 122 from foundational curriculum
        - b. Uses AAOT framework
        - c. More work to be done
    - 4. Will keep talking about how to implement consistently across universities
      - a. Has found faculty for all USTA (Unified Statewide Transfer Agreements)
      - b. Needs to be put into place
      - c. Teacher education identified as one of the programs should do USTA with
        - i. Is not single degree program, is whole set of degree programs
        - ii. More complex than HECC seemed to realize
  - iii. A lot more work that needs to be done
  - iv. Question: Deadline for group?
    - 1. HECC staff thought last meeting was the last meeting
    - 2. HB deadline for USTA is this year
    - 3. Talk about how there could be long term governance of this effort
- 5. Consideration of Old Business



- a. Graduate admission criteria, Elisa Maroney, Graduate Studies Committee
  - i. Catalog change
    - 1. Would add another option where students could be admitted conditionally for one quarter
    - 2. If support from graduate coordinator, could continue as regular admit
  - ii. Question: Would students be admitted provisionally just based on GPA? If couldn't get satisfactory GRE due to disabilities, could be admitted conditionally?
    - 1. Would meet other application requirements and be admitted conditionally
    - 2. Would be alternative to GRE
      - a. Conditional acceptance is alternative to GRE
      - b. Can also be conditionally accepted until receive acceptable score from GRE
        - i. If don't achieve by end of first quarter
  - iii. GRE not required if students have 3.0 GPA
    - 1. Want to add additional opportunity for students to succeed in first quarter without taking GRE
      - Students with disabilities, students whose first language is not English, and students who have not been in school for a while do not have to take GRE
      - b. Many deaf students go through undergrad with interpreter
        - i. GRE does not measure intelligence
  - iv. Question: Are there other alternatives to the GRE that should be in place?
    - Programs all have additional application materials

       a. Portfolios, resumes, work experience
  - v. Comment: What better predictor of success in graduate program is there other than experience in the graduate program?
    - 1. New option is opportunity for advisors to work with students
    - 2. New option works well for programs who know students well and have conditional admit
  - vi. In terms of grades, currently Grad Office looks at last 90 hours of Undergraduate courses
    - 1. Coordinator would be making decision about the new option
    - 2. Selection for use of new option is by program; not every program has to do this—is an option
  - vii. Comment: GRE is US designed and assumes rest of world has same exposure
    - 1. For those who came from non-anglo-saxon countries, was impossible to do regardless of how much prep was done
  - viii. Comments:
    - If student comes in, even if they did poorly as undergraduate but has 3.0 in first term, new options lets a student potentially show they can perform well
    - 2. Human experience shows that after time people have new abilities and new skills to use
      - a. Given opportunity they can demonstrate they can be a good student



- 3. When on conditional admit, they do not receive financial aid
  - a. Students highly motivated to do their work
  - b. Doing on own dime
  - c. Pre-advising that would go along with this is critical
  - d. Is very thoughtful approach for programs that want to use this
    - i. For example, the shortage for deaf teachers for deaf schools is enormous
- b. Comment: Are students in these programs, are they expected to take similar types of testing for certifications?
  - i. There are licensing programs
  - ii. They can request accommodations for these tests & certifications
- c. Move to approve
  - i. One opposed, motion passes
- 6. Consideration of New Business
  - a. Interpreting Studies: Theory, Amanda Smith, Deaf Studies and Professional Studies
    - i. Research over last eight years
      - 1. Bachelor's degree is not sufficient place to train interpreters to go into the field
      - 2. Would like to change undergraduate program to be preinterpreting program—theory
      - 3. Starts students at freshman level and allows them to get stronger with ASL and English
      - 4. Chunk of credits are language focused
        - a. Package for people whose native language is English and package for people whose main language is ASL or something else
      - 5. Chunk of credits ASL focused
      - 6. At senior level can take 400/500 level courses, can move credits over to Masters [this point was disputed & clarified later in discussion]
    - ii. Question: Name: Is that what is called within other programs offering it?
      - 1. Describe as pre-practice
      - 2. Is no other program like this in the country
        - a. May be other ones that do
        - b. We want it to say Interpreting studies: Theory
          - i. Want them to know this is the theory of how to be an interpreter
    - iii. Question: With this new program, ending pipeline of success?
      - 1. Pipeline continues to graduate level
      - 2. If student can't continue on right away, what are job prospects?
        - a. Students can still be interpreters in Oregon
    - b. Will still have opportunities to interpret in other places
       iv. Questions/discussion about taking of 400/500 level credits, double dipping, etc. Became convoluted
      - 1. **RESULT:** STRIKE THE PROPOSAL'S PARAGRAPH THAT ENABLES THE DOUBLE DIPPING



- 2. Discussion connected to 4+1 set up
  - a. 4+1 Proposal launching it still in discussion phase
- v. Is this right for predesigning as 4+1?
  - 1. Does not need to be reworked
    - a. The +1 being actual calendar year (four terms, including summer)
    - b. Already have pipeline going
- vi. What are incentives for students to go from Bachelors to Masters?
  - 1. Depends on district—people may move up salary scale
  - 2. Is no movement in other district even when people have degrees
    - a. Higher quality and would have options to move out of education setting
- b. General Education program, Breeann Flesch, GEC Chair: <u>see site here</u>
   i. Modification
  - 1. In 2015/2016, Faculty Senate created General Education Committee
  - 2. Gen Ed committee found that structure did not provide capability for assessment and criteria
  - ii. Put call out to faculty members
    - 1. Theme 1: want Gen ed program to provide students with foundational skills allowing to succeed in programs
    - 2. Theme 2: Wanted breadth requirement
      - a. Students should have to take classes outside of program/comfort zone
    - 3. Theme 3: want flexibility for students who start here
      - a. Want flexibility for transfer students as well
      - b. Looked at HB 2998
        - i. Participate in Statewide general education
        - ii. Incorporated ideas into this model
    - 4. Theme 4: Don't want to be trend
      - a. Looked into research
      - b. Found practices shown to be impactful at other institutions
        - i. High impact practices include having first year seminars
  - iii. Foundations
    - 1. Gen Ed task force identified foundational skills
      - a. Do we want to make discreet courses or gather together into integrative courses?
        - i. Took five foundational skills and gave discreet courses
        - ii. Rest of skills would be covered in first year seminar
      - b. Most places have writing requirement
        - i. Added 121, current prerequisite to 122
        - ii. Adding transparency
        - iii. Can test out of having to take a writing class
      - c. Math classes mostly remain same
        - i. Added competency



- ii. If program does not require taking math course and they show competency, do not need to take a math course
- d. Communication
  - i. Open up to communication in language
  - ii. Oral communication is one way of communicating
- e. Critical Thinking
  - i. Need class teaching critical thinking skills
- f. Health Promotion
- 2. First year seminars will tackle things like reading and information literacy
  - a. Are topical classes with interesting content that will provide foundational skills as well
  - b. Akin to honors colloquium for freshmen
  - c. Why do we only have cool classes for the privileged few?
    - i. If something is working for honors, should think about having for gen ed
  - d. Is small classroom setting where relationships are built
    - i. Because have small class and access to faculty member, hopefully have safe place to ask questions about things like registering
- 3. Using Writing focused and Quantitative focus
  - a. Is experience with quantitative literacy and writing
  - b. Not Writing intensive or Q course because those are for upper division
- 4. Will have titles like seminars do
  - a. Hopefully students sign up for classes that sound interesting to them
  - b. Similar to freshman interest group, while not having external issue
- iv. Breadth requirement
  - 1. Identified 3 categories, will take 2 classes in each category
  - 2. These classes will have high impact practice and will mostly be 200/300 level classes
    - a. The first year getting foundational skills
      - i. Then explore different perspectives
      - ii. Then integrate different ideas
    - b. Hope advising and materials shown will lead them to place that can integrate knowledge
  - 3. Learning communities, undergraduate research, service learning—impactful purpose
    - a. Writing intensive are high impact practices
    - b. Is purposeful alignment with outcomes
      - i. D,W, and Q are there and are aligned
- v. If passes, next step will be Gen Ed creating simple electronic forms to categorize courses
  - 1. Need to take all next year and figure out which classes go where



- 2. For most classes, is minimal tweaking and simple electronic form
- 3. Will be taking all next year to do process of which classes go where
  - a. Will come up with timeline for what is reasonable for faculty and provost
  - b. Will be one-time lift to get all courses in categories
  - c. New General education will start in 2019
- vi. Upkeep
  - 1. First year seminars will be solicited annually
    - a. General education director can collect data
    - b. Gen Ed director: Now have a program and people who assess program
      - i. When things need to be changed, they will
      - ii. Has been large project with attempts at compromise and moving towards something can all get behind
      - iii. Hoping to be mindful of paradigm shift
- vii. Scientific Perspectives: 40% lab-based (page 14)
  - 1. Says "all courses in this category must have designated ab component that accounts for 40% of all class time"
    - a. Comment: Excludes most other divisions from participating in scientific perspectives
    - b. Comment: Theme of this section is meant to be more broad based
  - 2. Comment: Is meant to be alignment along skills and content
    - a. "lab" is an important word to have
      - i. Does not need to be hood and sink
      - ii. Is instructional opportunity for students to work in small groups
      - iii. Could make class that could fit in category within computer science
      - iv. Think there is availability for possible lab space for people who want it
  - 3. Comment: Two years ago, provided lab space for anthropology department
    - a. Don't think anyone wanted to exclude any courses with 40%
    - b. In scientific perspectives category, need to have opportunity to do science
  - 4. If 40% labs, will have to restrict other topics; classes will suffer—will negatively impact other sciences.
- viii. Comment: National Science Teachers Academy and AAAS: recommendations task force has put together align to recommendations
  - 1. Lab: talking about disciplinary inquiry activities
    - a. Content criteria: making observations, using experimental data, engaging in scientific reasoning, analyzing data from natural systems
    - b. Is intellectually defensible
  - 2. Comparative intuitions: 7/8 do not require lab for their



general education science or natural science

- 3. Does not require physical lab; term lab being used to describe set of practices
  - a. Uses term lab, so when someone across state looks at it, can say it transfers
- 4. Multiple ways someone can accomplish this
  - a. Add phrase "lecture-lab format"
    - i. For criteria, demonstrate in syllabus how 40% of overall class time is non-lecture format
- ix. Comment: This has been a conversation that has been going on for months
  - 1. Tried best to find compromise using framework and find middle ground
- x. Question/Comments: This is akin to Honors Colloquia Adoption with multiple challenges
  - 1. Is it 3 to 4, or is it 4 credits?
    - a. Moved to 4 credits instead of 3 to 4
      - i. These courses are being asked to do a lot
      - ii. Felt more authentic to have them be 4 credit classes
    - b. Is matter of getting to 12 credits
      - i. If 3 credit department and teaching 4 times, get to 12
      - ii. If some were 3 and some were 4, would be issues with equity
  - 2. Whoever GE coordinator will be, will be hard
    - a. Might get 9 proposals if lucky in year
    - b. Cost will be burdensome
    - c. Going to be nice rotational, flexible courses will become predictable, second core
      - i. Are certain classes that come around more than once
      - ii. Starts out flexible, then solidifies
  - 3. Have had lots of conversations about whether is doable
    - a. If is not working, will need to change and is okay
    - b. Think it is worth a try and a risk
- xi. Next year, courses taught within next year or two with are approved for only the next year or two
  - 1. Want people to teach these classes multiple times
  - 2. Will have reapplication process
- c. Interdisciplinary Studies, Sriram Khe for Jeff Myers, IDS Board: link to questions about IDS
  - i. Is this a new course prefix? IDS
    - 1. Yes, in proposal, that has been made clear
    - 2. No category or prefix, is something that has to be created
    - Over the last couple of years have come together as group

       More than 200 students majoring in IDS now
    - 4. Had to create capstone opportunity for these students, and in order to create capstone, need prefix
      - a. Portfolio approach



- b. For students who want to demonstrate, can do in depth capstone work
- ii. Rest of questions are organizational: for Sue M
  - 1. The director has certain sense of responsibilities
- iii. Have some existing program at WOU
  - 1. Honors program and AB/IDS at provost's office
    - 2. Will have director at university level
      - a. Is project that spans university
    - 3. Structure for IDS/AB is different
      - a. Doing different things, all university wide programs
    - Do the deans have a role?
      - a. Deans do have a role in terms of coordination
      - b. Will be coordination, but reporting line for IDS
        - i. IDS board, to Curriculum Committee, to Faculty Senate, to Provost's office
- d. LING 310 Introduction to Linguistics, Carol for David Hargreaves, Humanities
  - i. A lot of questions had to do with moving from lower division to upper division: Link to Questions
  - ii. Originally Intro to Linguistics was 310 years ago
    - 1. Listed as option in LACC for Ed students
    - 2. Little change was made to course
    - 3. Chemeketa is only one that has at community college level 210
  - iii. Less an issue of what is covered and more how it is covered
    - Actual coverage with topics will not be changed, depth will be covered will be changed; statement later adjusted and yes, some of the content & depth will change (see point d.viii)
  - iv. Syllabi:
    - 1. Still in process
  - v. Question: Why is it on schedule?
    - 1. Humanities has temporary approval
  - vi. Was in curriculum queue starting in early fall
    - 1. Temporary course proposal included policy suggestions
    - 2. Asking 310 be used everywhere 210 is until new Gen Ed comes into play
  - vii. May be in articulation agreements, but when proposal submitted, did not know about articulation agreement
    - 1. Question: Is there more than one articulation agreement? Only know of one
    - 2. Request: Ask that LING 310 be applied to programs in same way LING 210 currently is
  - viii. Comment: How it was put into portal, are going to change some things to change to 300 level
    - 1. LING 210 is prerequisite for all Ed majors, Early childhood majors—about 500 students on campus
      - a. Concern about the changes
      - b. At no point were the changes to this course discussed with Teacher Education



- i. Linguistics apologized for communication gap; thought it had been addressed
- c. Comment: did not see changes would be so extreme beyond substitution form
- ix. Comment: Causes problems for ED majors in another way
  - 1. If 310 is now prerequisite to 314, will have students who need to get into both classes at the very last minute
  - 2. In the past, moved some classes from 300 level to 200 level to attract transfer students
    - a. May lose potential transfer students
- x. Linguistics students were having trouble graduating with enough upper division credits to graduate
  - 1. Initial issues behind the change arose from needs within the linguistics department
- xi. Comment: Perhaps linguistics can get together with education to talk about it
- xii. Comment: Want process to be discussed and recognized
  - 1. Education must collaborate more than any other department because draw from so many different departments
- xiii. Teacher Education supports Linguistics' changes in order to address their students' needs; however, Teacher Education expects that when they make changes in the future to meet their students' needs that those changes are similarly respected.
- xiv. Assumed any communication coming about Chemeketa 210, was not coming through college of Ed faculty
  - 1. Thought that would be new communication a. Apologies for the communication gap
  - 2. Teacher Ed found out Chemeketa was changing 310 while in process of moving 210 to 310
    - a. The bottleneck: opened a section
    - b. Asked about how many sections needed
      - i. Even one more is better, never received numbers
      - ii.

## 7. Move to extend meeting by 3 minutes

- a. Approved
- 8. Informational Presentations and Committee Reports
  - a. Student Success and Advising updates, Jesse Poole, SSA Interim Director
    - i. Not enough time

## 9. Meeting Adjourned: 17:10

## 5 – 5:15 p.m.

Better Know a Colleague (informal gathering continued, optional)