

Campus Recreation Advisory Committee

Minutes from Thursday, January 18, 2024, 9:10-9:52 am

Google Meet Meeting (meet.google.com/vym-fgsc-voj)

1. Sam (chair) calls the meeting to order at 9:10 a.m.
2. Due to technical difficulties the meeting was moved from Zoom to Google Meet (email and texts were sent out)

Minutes

- a. Sam requested any edits to the minutes, seeing none, they moved on

Unfinished Business

- b. Having none, Sam moved to New Business

New Business

3. The FY25 Campus Recreation Budget presentation. She turned the meeting over to Rip. It was decided to record the presentation to give members a chance to view it and provide feedback by Sunday, January 21, 2024, at noon.
4. Andy provided context as to why Rip was sharing the IFC Budget presentation to the Advisory Committee. He indicated that this process allows for the Advisory Committee to provide a direct impact on the presentation and emphasis areas. He mentioned he has seen past presentations change from the feedback given to Rip, so please share your thoughts afterward.
5. The FY25 budget projections were explained through the IFC Presentation by Rip. The IFC's overall budget would most likely not require cuts to the overall budget and enhancements were allowed.
 - a. Rip discussed the budgeting numbers for the Campus Recreation area of a request for the current service level of FY25 request is \$948,845.
 - b. Rip explained the reduction summary of 5%, 10%, and 15%.
 - c. The focus was on reducing the Aquatic Center then reducing the Service and Supplies first and then reducing labor which would affect each of the Campus Recreation facilities and programs.
 - d. Andy mentioned ensuring student employment was tied to operational hours throughout the presentation.
 - e. Rip explained the enhancement requests. They were broken down into 4 areas (Aquatic Center, Facility Maintenance, Club Sports, Student Employment) with 11 different requests. He indicated Campus Recreation over the past two fiscal years has been reduced by over \$300K and the total enhancement package was \$140,954.
 - f. Andy mentioned that we have focused on enhancements because the financial projections of IFC look positive with the growth in the student body, which is why we are asking for more.
 - g. Sam mentioned on the budget reductions slides she found herself missing a lot because the wording was in paragraph form instead of with bullet points.
 - h. Cedar mentioned emphasizing the fundraising ongoing for Club Sports.
 - i. Andy mentioned Men's Rugby is operating at 4.5 times what IFC is funding, he did say it is an extreme case but shows the need for an increase in funding.
 - j. Andy stated since this is the second time seeing the presentation that student employment may be overshadowing the participation numbers. Sam concurred that after the second slide participation was left out. Cedar mentioned adding in the Club Sports participation numbers.
 - k. Rip asks for input regarding where to best place participation numbers in one slide or multiple slides. Cedar stated it would be helpful to have it located early and to add in the NCAA participant numbers for the Turf Field. Sam pointed out that the number of Aquatic Center staff would be important to show since it has grown over the last year.
 - l. Rip mentioned that the numbers for the enhancements may change but only down not up as he continues to work through those areas.

- m. Both Cedar and Sam provided their approval for the presentation and the budget requests.
 - n. Sam, seeing the ending of the discussion, stated they would send out the presentation recorded session in an email to allow for more feedback before Sunday, January 21 by noon with their comments, questions, and approval or non-approval of moving forward.
 - o. Dylan did submit his approval and comments, "Hey Sam! Just watched the video. One thing I thought could use a little more explanation would be on the second slide or mission slide. I think it might be good to emphasize and explain more in-depth how we are already almost at the same amount of student usage this year as we had all of last year. I think the graph could be confusing at first. Other than that, I agree with what else was said by you guys and I think it looks great! I approve!"
 - p. As of Sunday, January 21 at 10:00 pm Approval was 3-0-0 [all student members]
6. Sam asked for clarification about the IFC support needed this year. Rip explained that it is important to hear from students during the open hearings and discussion times with the IFC members to give personal feedback. Information will be sent out about those upcoming IFC open hearing times.
 7. Sam then indicated that a future meeting should occur in late February or early March. May send out another Whenisgood just to make sure schedules haven't changed in mid-February.
 8. Sam seeing no objections called the meeting to close at 9:52 a.m.

CRD Advisory Committee

Student Representatives

Samantha Sanders, ssanders20@mail.wou.edu
 Macy Clemens, mclemens20@mail.wou.edu
 Cedar Davis-Frankfort, cdavisfrankfort19@mail.wou.edu
 Darien Kealoha-Albarado, dkealohaalbarado19@mail.wou.edu
 Dylan Van Horn, dvanhorn18@mail.wou.edu

Faculty/Staff Representatives

Robert Troyer, troyerr@mail.wou.edu
 Michelle Sides, sidesm@mail.wou.edu

Ex-Officio members

Alexa Amundson, amundsona@mail.wou.edu
 Andy Main, maina@mail.wou.edu
 Zach Hammerle, hammerlez@mail.wou.edu
 Rip Horsey, horseyh@mail.wou.edu