

Board Statement on the Performance Evaluation of the University President Board of Trustees of Western Oregon University

1.0 Policy

It is the Western Oregon University Board of Trustees ("Board") duty to evaluate the president annually, and comprehensively at least once every five years (or prior to contract renewal).

2.0 Purpose

The purpose of the evaluation is to provide relevant feedback on presidential leadership and performance, to identify strengths and opportunities for development, to inform the Board of the overall, ongoing health of the University, and to assure the public that the Board is fulfilling its fiduciary responsibility for accountable oversight.

3.0 Guiding Principles

The Board will evaluate the president's performance consistent with the following guiding principles. The performance evaluation of the president:

- 3.1 Fosters a trusting relationship between the Board and the president;
- 3.2 Furthers the Board's commitment to shared governance;
- 3.3 Includes a retrospective look at performance according to specific goals and measurable outcomes and a forward look to position the University strategically for success; and
- 3.4 Will be considered in setting compensation and the comprehensive evaluation will be considered in contract renewal.

4.0 Process

4.1 <u>Annual Evaluation</u>

By April 1, the president will complete and submit a written self-assessment to the Board Chair. The self-assessment, at a minimum, will include:

4.1.1 Retrospective assessment:

- (a) A review of major institutional achievements over the previous assessment period, specifically as it relates to previously established goals and the University's strategic plan.
- (b) Dashboard metrics aligned with the University's strategic goals and fiscal health, and the president's response to these metrics, which at a minimum, shall include:
 - (i) Degrees granted in support of HECC allocation formula;
 - (ii) Overall enrollment and retention rates;
 - (iii) Fiscal margins, as measured by Board-established reserves;
 - (iv) Advancement funds from all sources, including grants and philanthropy; and
 - (v) Faculty recruitment and retention.
- (c) A review of the president's relationship to the Board, University leadership and other stakeholders.
- (d) A review of the challenges, both personally and institutionally.

4.1.2 Prospective assessment:

- (a) Provide targets for dashboard metrics to be achieved over the next year and potential obstacles to achievement.
- (b) Delineate specific goals for the president and the University that relate to the ongoing strategic plan of the University.
- (c) Assess potential opportunities, needs, challenges or threats over the next year.
- (d) Identify ways in which the Board can be of assistance over the ensuing year.

4.2 <u>Presidential Feedback</u>

The Board Chair may seek feedback from University, faculty, community, and student leadership as the Board Chair deems appropriate to provide formative feedback to the president.

Upon receipt of the president's written self-assessment, the Board Chair will meet with the president to discuss the self-assessment and any feedback obtained, and will then prepare a draft written evaluation of the president that summarizes the Board Chair's findings, including any additional recommendations and goals for the next year.

Following receipt of the draft self-assessment and evaluation, the Executive, Governance, and Trusteeship Committee (EGTC) will convene in executive session with the president to discuss the president's self-assessment and the Board Chair's draft written evaluation. The Board Chair will use input from the EGTC executive session to finalize the draft written evaluation. The president may also make changes to the self-assessment after EGTC input.

At the end of the academic year, most typically at the Board's regularly scheduled meeting in June or July, the full Board will meet in executive session to evaluate the president. Prior to this Board meeting, the Board Chair will transmit the president's self-assessment and final written evaluation to the Trustees in preparation for the executive session.

4.3 Comprehensive Evaluation

No less than every three (3) years or in the year prior to the natural expiration of the president's employment agreement (or at any other time as determined by the Board), the Board will conduct a comprehensive evaluation of the president's performance. The comprehensive evaluation will assist the Board in its decision to reappoint or continue the president.

The comprehensive evaluation will include the annual evaluation for the year, but in addition will include the following:

- (a) Formal input from University stakeholders, including individual trustees, administrative cabinet, shared governance bodies, and external stakeholders, as the Board Chair determines is appropriate.
- (b) President's assessment of major successes and challenges over the last term of employment or last five years, whichever is appropriate.
- (c) President's proposed overall direction for the University and the president over the next contract or five-year period.
- (d) Any additional components for the review that may be requested in a timely manner at the discretion of the Board.

After the president submits a self-assessment to the Board Chair, the process for a comprehensive evaluation will follow the remaining steps outlined for an annual evaluation of the president, including a meeting between the Board Chair and the president, an executive session of the EGTC, and an executive session of the full Board.

Upon a satisfactory comprehensive evaluation of the president's performance, the Board may decide to reappoint or continue the president. It is expected that the evaluation, reappointment and/or continuation would occur at the regularly scheduled meeting of the Board in June or July, with contract negotiation to occur over the summer consistent with the Board's reappointment of the president. The term of the president's employment agreement, consistent with this evaluation schedule, will typically start on or about September 1.

5.0 Delegation

Any action to be taken by the Board Chair under this policy may, at the discretion of the Board Chair, be delegated to and taken, in whole or in part, by a designee of the Board Chair.

6.0 Confidentiality

All documents concerning the evaluation of the president's performance—whether an annual or comprehensive—are faculty records as defined by Oregon law and University policy. Faculty records under Oregon law are not public records and are designated as confidential by this Board Statement. All documents concerning the evaluation of the president's performance contemplated by this Board Statement will be maintained in the president's personnel file and will not be divulged to any party, other than Trustees or designated staff, without the consent of the president. No evaluative input solicited by the Board or included in the evaluation of the president may be anonymous.

7.0 Document History

| Version | Changes | Date |
|---------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1 | Initial Version | Adopted by the Western |
| | | Oregon University Board |
| | | of Trustees at their April |
| | | 26, 2017 meeting. |
| 2 | Change is frequency of | Adopted by the Western |
| | Comprehensive | Oregon University Board |
| | Evaluation. Additional | of Trustees at their June |
| | basic edits | 12, 2024 meeting. |

| Approved on | , 2024 |
|-----------------------------|--------|
| | |
| Chair of the Board | |
| Secretary of the University | |