Program:				
	NA*	Benchmark	Satisfactory	Exemplary
Outcomes	 - -	Not aligned with program mission Not measurable Outcomes are expressed as statements that include multiple outcomes	Aligned with and specific to the program mission Clearly measurable Expressed in language that focuses on what students will be able to demonstrate	Have evolved based on previous assessments Are responsive to new information
Target		_ Target lacks clear rationale	Target is clearly stated and has defensible rationale	Targets are responsive to previous assessments, new information and changing environments Targets will advance the program and its students
Data Source		Data is collected, but not at a consistent point in the program Data collection is post-hoc rather than planned	Sample is from student representing mode of	Data is collected from a culminating experience shared by all students Sampling is random (for larger programs) or all majors are included (<10 grads per year) Criteria for student work is coordinated among program faculty who contribute
Means of Assessment		_ Does not match outcomes Data collection process not described	Content to be assessed fits outcomes Data collection process is briefly described Appropriate measures are used	Designed to promote curricular improvement Rationale is clearly articulated
Means of Scoring		Rubric or standards are not attached Scores are not broken down for analysis	Rubric provided that describes different levels of performance Scoring allows for analysis of aspects of student performance	Rubric clearly differentiates different levels of performance Reliability is ensured through more than one scorer
Evidence Storage		(e.g., based on holistic grade) _ Student work is not retained	Samples of student work are retained	Evidence that assessment is used to improve curriculum is publicly available (e.g. published)
	_	_ Data storage location is not specific	Data is stored in a specific, identified location so it can be easily located upon request	Assessment is referenced, when appropriate, in the curriculum change process
Findings		Holistic scoring does not allow for analysis of components of student performance Means of scoring was insufficient to enable	Components of student performance are identified and analyzed	Multiple data points are available
Dissemination		 assessment of outcome Findings are submitted to university but not discussed among faculty 	_ Findings are discussed at a faculty meeting	Trends over time are discussed Findings are disseminated to all stakeholders (e.g., instructors)
Actions		_ Actions not aligned with outcomes sought _ No improvements described for findings that _ fail to meet the target _	Action plan is developed from findings and is aligned with outcomes sought Clearly describes intended changes and hypothesized improvements	Responsibilities for action are assigned in the report Target implementation date for action is stated
		<u>-</u>	Program shows use of assessment results for improvement	Implement and planned changes are described and linked to assessment data (if no changes are reported, an explanation is provided)
Reporting		Annual report was submitted, but was incomplete or not up-to-date	Report is complete (all questions are answered) and up-to-date	Additional documentation describes methods, findings, and actions taken in complete detail Report shows continuity with previous reports

Assessment records are complete for the 3-year

period (prior, current, following)

__ All outcomes are assessed annually

Pacing

Assessment records are not complete for — Asses — — the 3-year period (prior, current, following) — period *NA: not available for evaluation based on information provided